arXiv:math/0202155v1 [math.OC] 17 Feb 2002

Performance Evaluation of Switched Discrete Event Systems[1]

Long Wang

Center for Systems and Control, Department of Mechanics and Engineering Science
Peking University, Beijing 100871, CHINA

Abstract: This paper discusses the asymptotic periodic behavior of a class of switched discrete event systems, and shows how to evaluate the asymptotic performance of such systems.

Keywords: Switched Systems, Discrete Event Systems, Max-Plus Algebra, Periodic Behavior, Performance Evaluation.

1 Introduction

Based on max-plus algebra, a class of discrete event processes can be described by linear recursive equations[1]. Such a system exhibits asymptotic periodic behavior, and its performance can be evaluated by calculating the eigenvalue of the system matrix in max-plus algebra. On the other hand, control techniques based on switching among different controllers have been explored extensively in recent years, where they have been shown to achieve better dynamic performance[2].

This paper proposes a new model for a class of switched discrete event systems. Such a model consists of a finite set of discrete event subsystems, and a switching law that orchestrates the switching among them. We show that, the switched system can be transformed into a non-switched system, and under certain conditions, the switched system exhibits asymptotic periodic behavior, and its performance can be evaluated by calculating the eigenvalue of certain matrix in max-plus algebra.

2 Preliminaries

Denote

$$R_e = R \cup \{-\infty\}$$

$$\epsilon = -\infty$$

and for any $x, y \in R_e$, define

$$x \oplus y = \max\{x, y\}$$

$$x \otimes y = x + y$$

A matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in R_e^{n\times n}$ is said to be irreducible if $\forall i, j, \exists(i_1 = i, i_2, ..., i_{k-1}, i_k = j)$, s.t. $a_{ii_2} + a_{i_2i_3} + ... + a_{i_{k-1}j} > -\infty$.

For any matrices $A, B \in R_e^{n\times n}$, define

$$A \oplus B = (a_{ij} \oplus b_{ij})$$

[1] Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(69925307). Email: longwang@mech.pku.edu.cn

$$A \otimes B = (\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n}(a_{ik} \otimes b_{kj})) =: AB$$

Given any matrix $A \in R_e^{n\times n}$, the corresponding directed graph (digraph) is a graph with n nodes, and there is a directed arc from node j to node i with weight a_{ij} if and only if $a_{ij} \neq -\infty$.

In a digraph, a circuit is a directed path that starts and ends at the same node. In a circuit, the sum of the weights of all its arcs divided by the number of arcs is called the mean weight. The circuit with the maximal mean weight in a digraph is called the critical circuit.

A zero vector is a vector with all its entries equal to $-\infty$.

For an irreducible matrix $A \in R_e^{n\times n}$, if there exist a real number λ and a nonzero vector $h \in R_e^{n\times 1}$ such that $Ah = \lambda h$. Then λ and h are called the eigenvalue, eigenvector of A, respectively.

Lemma 1[1]

For an irreducible matrix $A \in R_e^{n\times n}$, there is a unique eigenvalue λ, and it equals the mean weight of the critical circuit of its corresponding digraph.

Lemma 2[1]

For an irreducible matrix $A \in R_e^{n\times n}$, there exist positive integers k_0 and d such that

$$A^{k+d} = \lambda^d A^k, \qquad k \geq k_0$$

d is called the period order of A.

Lemma 3[1]

For an irreducible matrix $A \in R_e^{n\times n}$, suppose its eigenvalue is λ, and its period order is d. Then there exists a positive integer k_0 such that the solution of

$$X(k+1) = AX(k)$$

satisfies

$$X(k+d) = \lambda^d X(k), \qquad k \geq k_0$$

This shows that the system will exhibit periodic behavior asymptotically. The mean period is exactly equal to the eigenvalue of A. Hence, the eigenvalue of A is an important performance index of the system.

3 Switched Systems

For notational simplicity, we first discuss switching between two subsystems[2]. That is, the switched system is governed by

$$X(k+1) = A_i X(k) \tag{1}$$

where $A_i \in R_e^{n\times n}$, and the switching law is

$$i = \begin{cases} 1 & k \text{ even} \\ 2 & k \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Namely

$$X(1) = A_1 X(0)$$

$$X(2) = A_2 X(1)$$

$$X(3) = A_1 X(2)$$

$$X(4) = A_2 X(3)$$

.....

That is

$$X(2) = A_2 X(1) = A_2 A_1 X(0)$$

$$X(4) = A_2 X(3) = A_2 A_1 X(2)$$

.....

Let

$$Y(k) = X(2k) \tag{2}$$

Then

$$Y(1) = A_2 A_1 Y(0)$$

$$Y(2) = A_2 A_1 Y(1)$$

.....

$$Y(k+1) = A_2 A_1 Y(k) \tag{3}$$

In this way, we tramsform a switched system into a non-switched system. Thus, the following problem naturally arises: Suppose A_1 and A_2 are irreducible matrices, is their product $A_2 A_1$ still irreducible?

The answer is NO in general case. Consider the two irreducible matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & 1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon & 1 \\ 1 & \epsilon & \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \qquad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & \epsilon & 1 \\ 1 & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 1 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, their product is

$$A_2A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 2 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly, A_2A_1 is reducible. However, if every main diagonal entry of A_1 (or A_2) is not the null element ϵ, then the answer to the question above is YES.

Theorem 1

Suppose $A, B \in R_e^{n\times n}$ are irreducible matrices, with all the main diagonal entries of A (or B) not equal to ϵ. Then, AB is irreducible, too.

Proof: Without loss of generality, suppose all the main diagonal entries of A are not equal to ϵ. Then, for any $1 \le s, t \le n$, $(AB)_{st} \neq \epsilon$ whenever $(B)_{st} \neq \epsilon$. Moreover, since B is irreducible, by definition, AB is irreducible, too.

Theorem 2

Suppose $A_1, A_2 \in R_e^{n\times n}$ are irreducible matrices, with all the main diagonal entries of A_1 (or A_2) not equal to ϵ. Then, there exist positive number λ, positive integers d and k_0, such that the switched system (1) satisfies

$$X(k+d) = \lambda^d X(k), \qquad k \ge k_0$$

Proof: By the transformation (2), the switched system (1) can be transformed into a non-switched system (3). That is

$$Y(k+1) = A_2A_1Y(k)$$

By Theorem 1, A_2A_1 is irreducible. Hence, by Lemma 3 and by the transformation (2), we get the result.

Example 1

Consider the two irreducible matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \epsilon & 3 \\ 6 & 2 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & 3 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon & 2 \\ 4 & \epsilon & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lambda(A) = \frac{13}{3}, \qquad \lambda(B) = 3$$

Moreover

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 5 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 9 & 4 \\ 7 & \epsilon & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

is also irreducible, and

$$\lambda(AB) = 9$$

Note that

$$\lambda(AB) > \lambda(A) + \lambda(B)$$

But this inequality is not always true in general case.

Example 2

Consider the two irreducible matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \epsilon & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \epsilon & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lambda(A) = 10, \qquad \lambda(B) = 10$$

Moreover

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 11 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 11 \\ 2 & 2 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

is also irreducible, and

$$\lambda(AB) = 11$$

Hence

$$\lambda(AB) < \lambda(A) + \lambda(B)$$

4 Some Extensions

More complicated switching laws can be accommodated for performance evaluation. Suppose $A_i \in R_e^{n\times n}, i = 1, 2, ..., m$ are irreducible matrices, with all their main diagonal entries not equal to ϵ. This switched system is governed by

$$X(k+1) = A_i X(k) \tag{4}$$

with switching law

$$i = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0, 1, 2, ..., k_1 mod(K) \\ 2 & k = k_1 + 1, k_1 + 2, ..., k_2 mod(K) \\ 3 & k = k_2 + 1, k_2 + 2, ..., k_3 mod(K) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ m & k = k_{m-1} + 1, k_{m-1} + 2, ..., k_m mod(K) \end{cases}$$

where $K = k_m + 1$.

In this case, the transformed system is

$$Y(k+1) = A_m^{k_m - k_{m-1}} ..... A_3^{k_3 - k_2} A_2^{k_2 - k_1} A_1^{k_1 + 1} Y(k)$$

and

$$Y(k) = X(Kk)$$

Similar asymptotic periodic properties can be established as follows.

Theorem 3

Suppose $A_i \in R_e^{n \times n}, i = 1, 2, ..., m$ are irreducible matrices, with all their main diagonal entries not equal to ϵ. Then, for any positive integers $l_1, i = 1, 2, ..., m$, $A_m^{l_m} ..... A_3^{l_3} A_2^{l_2} A_1^{l_1}$ is irreducible, too.

Theorem 4

Suppose $A_i \in R_e^{n \times n}, i = 1, 2, ..., m$ are irreducible matrices, with all their main diagonal entries not equal to ϵ. Then, there exist positive number λ, positive integers d and k_0, such that the switched system (4) satisfies

$$X(k+d) = \lambda^d X(k), \qquad k \geq k_0$$

Note that even if the matrix A is irreducible, its power A^l can be reducible for some integer l. For example, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & 1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon & 1 \\ 1 & \epsilon & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 3 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is reducible. This is why we assume that all the main diagonal entries are not equal to ϵ.

5 Future Research

Two issues are under investigation.

1. what is the necessary and sufficient condition for the product of some matrices to be irreducible? In some cases, even if each individual matrix is reducible, their product can still be irreducible. For example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & 1 \\ \epsilon & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This issue is important in performance evaluation of switched discrete event systems.

2. how is the eigenvalue of the product of some matrices related to the the eigenvalue of each individual matrix? The eigenvalue of the product of some matrices represents the asymptotic mean period of the switched system, thereby plays an important role in performance evaluation.

Yet another interesting research direction is to study the asymptotic behavior of general 2-D discrete-event systems[3, 4]

$$X(m+1,n+1) = A_1X(m+1,n) \oplus A_2X(m,n+1) \oplus A_3X(m,n)$$

with the boundary condition

$$X(m,0) = X_{m0}, \qquad X(0,n) = X_{0n}, \qquad m,n = 0,1,2,.....$$

Under what conditions does the system exhibit periodic behavior (with respect to m,n) asymptotically? and how to evaluate its asymptotic performance?

A popular model for 2-D systems is the so-called Roesser model[3]

$$\begin{bmatrix} X^h(i+1,j) \\ X^v(i,j+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X^h(i,j) \\ X^v(i,j) \end{bmatrix}$$

with the boundary condition

$$X^h(0,j) = X^h_j, \qquad X^v(i,0) = X^v_i, \qquad i,j = 0,1,2,.....$$

How the system (in the max-plus algebra sense) evolves asymptotically, and how to evaluate its asymptotic performance are the subjects of current research.

References

[1] G. Cohen, P. Moller, J. P. Quadrat, M. Viot, Linear System Theoretic View of Discrete Event Processes and its Use for Performance Evaluation in Manufacturing, IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, vol.30, No.3, 210-220, 1985.

[2] D. Liberzon, A. S. Morse, Basic Problems in Stability and Design of Switched Systems, IEEE Control Systems Magazine, vol.19, No.5, 59-70, 1999.

[3] R. P. Roesser, A Discrete State-Space Model for Linear Image Processing, IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, vol.20, No.1, 1-10, 1975.

[4] J. E. Kurek, The General State-Space Model for a Two-Dimensional Linear Digital System, IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, vol.30, No.6, 600-602, 1985.